

This year that bill came to the floor with the solid support of the Republicans and Democrats on that committee. It was unanimously supported. It increased the homeland security part above the President's request by \$3 billion.

As we have gone through the process—it was a long, dragged-out effort when it came to working with the other body on the conference. We finally had to yield and come down from the \$3 billion to \$1.4 billion in additional money over the President's request for homeland security.

Again, all the way, I am proud to say, we have a bipartisan group in that committee that walks step by step and shoulder to shoulder to my colleague, Senator STEVENS, and I. We don't have any quarrels. We don't have any differences. We don't have any partisan discussions. We don't have any partisan bickering, nor do the members on the committee.

The distinguished Senator from Utah, Mr. BENNETT, is a member of that committee. I served with his father. I believe his father sat right here. I believe his father sat right there in that chair when the son, in whom his father was well pleased, was around these premises and knew a great deal about the Congress and worked in the Congress. He worked in his precincts.

We don't have any middle aisle in our committee. It was a joint effort on the part of Republicans and Democrats in close ranks and voting to support moneys for the security of the American people. These are moneys that are in this conference report.

When it comes to homeland defense, this Appropriations Committee has been right out front. I am very proud of the way we have been able to do our work and work together. It has been a long time since this committee started on this bill. I guess the budget was sent up here last February. It has been all that long time.

Here we are in July with the conference report that we will be voting on tomorrow morning.

I thank the distinguished Senator.  
I yield the floor.

#### CHANGES TO THE 2002 APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS AND THE BUDGETARY AGGREGATES

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, section 314 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended, requires that chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to adjust the budgetary aggregates and the allocation for the Appropriations Committee by the amount of appropriations designated as emergency spending pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended. The conference report to H.R. 4775, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States, provides \$29.886 billion

in designated emergency funding 2002 for a variety of activities, including homeland security and the war on terrorism, which is estimated to result in \$7.783 billion in outlays in 2002.

Pursuant to section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby revise the 2002 allocation provided to the Senate Appropriations Committee in the concurrent budget resolution in the following amounts.

TABLE 1.—REVISED ALLOCATION FOR APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, 2002  
(In millions of dollars)

	Budget authority	Outlays
Current Allocation:		
General Purpose Discretionary .....	704,240	692,717
Highways .....	0	28,489
Mass Transit .....	0	5,275
Conservation .....	1,760	1,473
Mandatory .....	358,567	350,837
Total .....	1,064,567	1,078,791
Adjustments:		
General Purpose Discretionary .....	29,886	7,783
Highways .....	0	0
Mass Transit .....	0	0
Conservation .....	0	0
Mandatory .....	0	0
Total .....	29,886	7,783
Revised Allocation:		
General Purpose Discretionary .....	734,126	700,500
Highways .....	0	28,489
Mass Transit .....	0	5,275
Conservation .....	1,760	1,473
Mandatory .....	358,567	350,837
Total .....	1,094,453	1,086,574

Pursuant to section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby revise the 2002 budget aggregates included in the concurrent budget resolution in the following amounts.

TABLE 2.—REVISED BUDGET AGGREGATES, 2002  
(In millions of dollars)

	Budget authority	Outlays
Current allocation: Budget Resolution .....	1,680,564	1,645,999
Adjustments: Emergency Spending .....	29,886	7,783
Revised allocation: Budget Resolution .....	1,710,450	1,653,782

Prepared by SBC Majority staff on 7-23-02.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

#### UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— H.R. 3210

Mr. REID. Madam President, when I today read Congress Daily, as I often do, I was stunned. I was stunned as a result of what the President said in his radio address.

I have to acknowledge that I didn't wait around and listen to it Saturday. But I read about it here.

Let me read what the President said on Saturday. I say this with total sincerity. I am so disappointed in the President. I am sure others think that what he has done is hypocrisy. I will not use that word.

I am just terribly disappointed in the President.

This is what he said. The headline is: BUSH URGES CONGRESS TO SEND HIM TERRORIST REINSURANCE BILL.

President Bush made another plug for enactment of a terrorism reinsurance bill, noting in his radio address over the weekend, "Until Congress sends a bill to my desk,

some buildings will not be able to get coverage against terrorist attacks, and many new buildings will not be built at all. Commercial development is stalling, and workers are missing out on those jobs. This year alone, the lack of terrorism insurance has killed or delayed more than \$8 billion in commercial property financing. Congress should pass a terrorism insurance bill without unnecessary measures."

Can you imagine giving an address to the American people about Congress needing to do something on terrorism insurance?

Rather than wasting time on the radio address, why doesn't he call the Republican leadership in the Senate and ask: Why don't you let us go to conference?

Almost everything we have done with this terrorism insurance, we have had to fight the minority every step of the way. We fought to get it on the floor. We tried to do it even last year, right after the events of September 11, and we were stopped from doing so.

I have been on this floor maybe 10 or 12 times offering a unanimous consent request that we be allowed to go forward with the conference.

Just to remind everybody, we were told by the leadership that all we needed to do is change the ratio. Senator DASCHLE—and he has that right—decided the ratio should be 3 to 2. We were told: Make it 4 to 3, and we will go right to conference. That was weeks ago. We changed: OK, if that is what you want, then we will be happy to do that. We changed it to 4 to 3.

Then we are told: Well, there are two people in the minority who want that third spot, and they can't work that out.

So, as a result of that, as the President has indicated, there is no question about it, there is work being held up in Nevada and all over the country because they cannot get terrorism insurance. We cannot go to conference because you will not let us.

Last week, we were told: Give us 24 hours to resolve this. I have said here, for this unanimous consent agreement that I have been seeking for several days: I will put it in my desk and do it again. No more. No more. This is the last. As far as I am concerned, terrorism insurance is dead.

The industry, obviously, does not care enough to put enough pressure on the minority so that we can go to conference. If the role were reversed, and we, the Democrats, were holding up the appointing of conferees on a terrorism insurance bill, our phones would be ringing. We would have petitions. We would have demonstrations. But because it is the insurance industry, which is a little closer to the minority than we are, nothing happens. Day after day after day goes on, and I guess they expect me and Senator DASCHLE to come and offer this unanimous consent request.

No more. They can do it. In the meantime, terrorism insurance is dead. Nothing is going to happen. The House is going out Thursday.